

Nested Quantifiers

Section 1.5

Section Summary

- Nested Quantifiers
- Order of Quantifiers
- Translating from Nested Quantifiers into English
- Translating Mathematical Statements into Statements involving Nested Quantifiers.
- Translating English Sentences into Logical Expressions.
- Negating Nested Quantifiers.

Nested Quantifiers

- Nested quantifiers are often necessary to express the meaning of sentences in English as well as important concepts in computer science and mathematics.

Example: “Every real number has an inverse” is

$$\forall x \exists y (x + y = 0)$$

where the domains of x and y are the real numbers.

- We can also think of nested propositional functions:

$\forall x \exists y (x + y = 0)$ can be viewed as $\forall x Q(x)$ where $Q(x)$ is $\exists y P(x, y)$ where $P(x, y)$ is $(x + y = 0)$

Thinking of Nested Quantification

- Nested Loops

- To see if $\forall x \forall y P(x,y)$ is true, loop through the values of x :
 - At each step, loop through the values for y .
 - If for some pair of x and y , $P(x,y)$ is false, then $\forall x \forall y P(x,y)$ is false and both the outer and inner loop terminate.

$\forall x \forall y P(x,y)$ is true if the outer loop ends after stepping through each x .

- To see if $\forall x \exists y P(x,y)$ is true, loop through the values of x :
 - At each step, loop through the values for y .
 - The inner loop ends when a pair x and y is found such that $P(x,y)$ is true.
 - If no y is found such that $P(x,y)$ is true the outer loop terminates as $\forall x \exists y P(x,y)$ has been shown to be false.

$\forall x \exists y P(x,y)$ is true if the outer loop ends after stepping through each x .

- If the domains of the variables are infinite, then this process can not actually be carried out.

Order of Quantifiers

Examples:

1. Let $P(x,y)$ be the statement “ $x + y = y + x$.” Assume that U is the real numbers. Then $\forall x \forall y P(x,y)$ and $\forall y \forall x P(x,y)$ have the same truth value.
2. Let $Q(x,y)$ be the statement “ $x + y = 0$.” Assume that U is the real numbers. Then $\forall x \exists y P(x,y)$ is true, but $\exists y \forall x P(x,y)$ is false.

Questions on Order of Quantifiers

Example 1: Let U be the real numbers,

Define $P(x,y) : x \cdot y = 0$

What is the truth value of the following:

1. $\forall x \forall y P(x,y)$

Answer: False

2. $\forall x \exists y P(x,y)$

Answer: True

3. $\exists x \forall y P(x,y)$

Answer: True

4. $\exists x \exists y P(x,y)$

Answer: True

Questions on Order of Quantifiers

Example 2: Let U be the real numbers,

Define $P(x,y) : x / y = 1$

What is the truth value of the following:

1. $\forall x \forall y P(x,y)$

Answer: False

2. $\forall x \exists y P(x,y)$

Answer: True

3. $\exists x \forall y P(x,y)$

Answer: False

4. $\exists x \exists y P(x,y)$

Answer: True

Quantifications of Two Variables

Statement	When True?	When False
$\forall x \forall y P(x, y)$ $\forall y \forall x P(x, y)$	$P(x,y)$ is true for every pair x,y .	There is a pair x, y for which $P(x,y)$ is false.
$\forall x \exists y P(x, y)$	For every x there is a y for which $P(x,y)$ is true.	There is an x such that $P(x,y)$ is false for every y .
$\exists x \forall y P(x, y)$	There is an x for which $P(x,y)$ is true for every y .	For every x there is a y for which $P(x,y)$ is false.
$\exists x \exists y P(x, y)$ $\exists y \exists x P(x, y)$	There is a pair x, y for which $P(x,y)$ is true.	$P(x,y)$ is false for every pair x,y

Translating Nested Quantifiers into English

Example 1: Translate the statement

$$\forall x (C(x) \vee \exists y (C(y) \wedge F(x, y)))$$

where $C(x)$ is “ x has a computer,” and $F(x,y)$ is “ x and y are friends,” and the domain for both x and y consists of all students in your school.

Solution: Every student in your school has a computer or has a friend who has a computer.

Example 1: Translate the statement

$$\exists x \forall y \forall z ((F(x, y) \wedge F(x, z) \wedge (y \neq z)) \rightarrow \neg F(y, z))$$

Solution: There is a student none of whose friends are also friends with each other.

Translating Mathematical Statements into Predicate Logic

Example : Translate “The sum of two positive integers is always positive” into a logical expression.

Solution:

1. Rewrite the statement to make the implied quantifiers and domains explicit:

“For every two integers, if these integers are both positive, then the sum of these integers is positive.”

2. Introduce the variables x and y , and specify the domain, to obtain:

“For all positive integers x and y , $x + y$ is positive.”

3. The result is:

$$\forall x \forall y ((x > 0) \wedge (y > 0) \rightarrow (x + y > 0))$$

where the domain of both variables consists of all integers

Translating English into Logical Expressions Example

Example: Use quantifiers to express the statement
“There is a woman who has taken a flight on every
airline in the world.”

Solution:

1. Let $P(w,f)$ be “ w has taken f ” and $Q(f,a)$ be “ f is a flight on a .”
2. The domain of w is all women, the domain of f is all flights, and the domain of a is all airlines.
3. Then the statement can be expressed as:

$$\exists w \forall a \exists f (P(w,f) \wedge Q(f,a))$$

Questions on Translation from English

Choose the obvious predicates and express in predicate logic.

Example 1: “Brothers are siblings.”

Solution: $\forall x \forall y (B(x,y) \rightarrow S(x,y))$

Example 2: “Siblinghood is symmetric.”

Solution: $\forall x \forall y (S(x,y) \rightarrow S(y,x))$

Example 3: “Everybody loves somebody.”

Solution: $\forall x \exists y L(x,y)$

Example 4: “There is someone who is loved by everyone.”

Solution: $\exists y \forall x L(x,y)$

Example 5: “There is someone who loves someone.”

Solution: $\exists x \exists y L(x,y)$

Example 6: “Everyone loves himself”

Solution: $\forall x L(x,x)$

Negating Nested Quantifiers

Example 1: Recall the logical expression developed three slides back:

$$\exists w \forall a \exists f (P(w,f) \wedge Q(f,a))$$

Part 1: Use quantifiers to express the statement that “There does not exist a woman who has taken a flight on every airline in the world.”

Solution: $\neg \exists w \forall a \exists f (P(w,f) \wedge Q(f,a))$

Part 2: Now use De Morgan’s Laws to move the negation as far inwards as possible.

Solution:

1. $\neg \exists w \forall a \exists f (P(w,f) \wedge Q(f,a))$
2. $\forall w \neg \forall a \exists f (P(w,f) \wedge Q(f,a))$ by De Morgan’s for \exists
3. $\forall w \exists a \neg \exists f (P(w,f) \wedge Q(f,a))$ by De Morgan’s for \forall
4. $\forall w \exists a \forall f \neg (P(w,f) \wedge Q(f,a))$ by De Morgan’s for \exists
5. $\forall w \exists a \forall f (\neg P(w,f) \vee \neg Q(f,a))$ by De Morgan’s for \wedge .

Part 3: Can you translate the result back into English?

Solution:

“For every woman there is an airline such that for all flights, this woman has not taken that flight or that flight is not on this airline”